

_____ Please fill in date agreement signed (same as question 21)

Minnesota Business Assistance Form

- The Minnesota Business Assistance Form (MBAF) online is available at www.deed.state.mn.us/Community/subsidies/MBAFForm.htm to report each business subsidy (including Job Opportunity Zone (JOBZ) tax exemptions/credit) and financial assistance agreement signed from **August 1, 1999 through December 31, 2006** unless goals have been achieved and reported on a MBAF per Minn. Stat. §116J.993 to §116J.995.
- Assistance given to a business located in a JOBZone must report annually until December 31, 2015 even if goals have been achieved.
- The following government agencies must submit a MBAF: 1) any local government/agency that signed a business subsidy agreement since January 1, 2001, or represents a population of more than 2,500; 2) all state government agencies authorized to provide business subsidies.
- DEED will contact any local or state government agency that is required to report but has not done so by April 1. Business assistance may not be awarded after June 1 of each year until a report has been submitted.
- Questions? Call (651) 259-7179. Information on where to mail or fax your completed MBAF(s) is on page 7.

Section 1: (Grantor Information)

1. Name of grantor (funding entity)		2. Name of person completing this form	
3. Street address		4. City	5. Zip Code
6. County	7. Phone number	8. Fax number	9. E-mail address
10. Please indicate who in your organization should receive the MBAF if different from the person in Question 2.			
Name/Title	Phone number	Street address	City Zip Code
11. Classification of grantor (Mark one. If grantor is entity created by gov't agency, please indicate affiliation. For example, a city EDA would check "City government.") <input type="checkbox"/> City government <input type="checkbox"/> County government <input type="checkbox"/> Regional government <input type="checkbox"/> State government <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please specify) _____		12. Has your organization held a public hearing on and adopted criteria for awarding business subsidies in compliance with Minn. Stat. § 116J.994? (Mark one.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in 2007 (attach criteria) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, in 2007 but have not yet adopted criteria <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, prior to 2007 If Yes: Hearing Date: _____ Year Criteria Submitted: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Please attach explanation.)	
13. Has your organization signed any agreements to award a business subsidy or financial assistance from August 1, 1999 through December 31, 2006 unless goals have been achieved and reported in a previously filed MBAF? (Mark one.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (Complete the remainder of the form unless goals have been achieved and reported in a previously filed MBAF per Minn. Stat. §116J.993 and §116J.994.) <input type="checkbox"/> No (Stop here, go to section 5 on page 4.)			

Section 2: Recipient Information

14. Name of business or organization receiving subsidy or financial assistance		15. Address where business subsidy or financial assistance will be used	
		Street address	City State ZIP Code
16. Does the recipient have a parent corporation? (Mark one.) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (Indicate name and address of parent corporation below. If more than one, indicate ultimate owner.) <input type="checkbox"/> No			
Name of parent corporation	Street address	City	State ZIP Code

17. Industry of recipient's facility (Mark one.):
☐ Manufacturing ☐ Services ☐ Finance, Insurance, Real Estate
☐ Retail Trade ☐ Wholesale Trade ☐ Construction ☐ Other (please specify) _____

18. Did the recipient relocate as a result of signing this agreement? (Mark one.)
☐ Yes (Indicate city and state of previous address and reason recipient did not complete this project at that address.)

City/State of previous address Reason project not completed at previous address

Indicate total number of employees who ceased to be employed by recipient when the recipient relocated to become eligible for the business subsidy.

☐ No (Go to Question 19.)

19. What would recipient have done without business subsidy or financial assistance? (Mark one):
☐ Remain at previous location, but not expand ☐ Remain at previous location but expand at the location
☐ Relocate to different Minnesota location ☐ Relocated outside Minnesota
☐ Other _____

19A. Was the project a result of eminent domain? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Section 3: Agreement Information

<p>20. Total dollar value of business subsidy or financial assistance (Please separate value by type in Questions 24 and 25.) (Enter zero for JOBZ, Biozone and Agzone projects.)</p>	<p>21. Date agreement signed (In addition to the agreement date, indicate any dates the agreement was amended.)</p>																																				
<p>22. Benefit date (Indicate the date the recipient receives the business subsidy or improvements were finished, equipment was placed into service, or the recipient occupied the property, whichever is earlier.)</p>																																					
<p>23. Does the agreement provide a business subsidy or one of the four types of financial assistance (see Question 25) required to be reported? (Mark one.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> business subsidy <input type="checkbox"/> financial assistance </p>																																					
<p>24. If the agreement provided a business subsidy, please indicate the type(s) and total dollar value for each type.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> not applicable, agreement provided financial assistance</p> <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> loan (only principal)</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> grant (i.e., forgivable loan)</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> tax abatement</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> TIF or other tax reduction or deferral*</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> guarantee or payment</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> contribution of property or infrastructure</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> preferential use of governmental facilities</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> land contribution</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> Biozone</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 0</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> JOBZ (state tax exemptions/credits and sales tax)</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 0</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> JOBZ - Agzone</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ 0</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> other (Specify subsidy type.) _____</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> </table> <p>(Note: no dollar value for zone projects)</p> <p>26. If the assistance included tax increment financing, please indicate the type of TIF district? (Mark one.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> not applicable, assistance was not in the form of TIF</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> redevelopment <input type="checkbox"/> renewal and renovation <input type="checkbox"/> soils condition <input type="checkbox"/> economic development <input type="checkbox"/> mined underground space <input type="checkbox"/> hazardous substance subdistrict</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> loan (only principal)	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> grant (i.e., forgivable loan)	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> tax abatement	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> TIF or other tax reduction or deferral*	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> guarantee or payment	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> contribution of property or infrastructure	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> preferential use of governmental facilities	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> land contribution	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Biozone	\$ 0	<input type="checkbox"/> JOBZ (state tax exemptions/credits and sales tax)	\$ 0	<input type="checkbox"/> JOBZ - Agzone	\$ 0	<input type="checkbox"/> other (Specify subsidy type.) _____	\$ _____	<p>25. If the assistance was one of the four types of financial assistance, please indicate the type(s).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> not applicable, agreement provided a business subsidy</p> <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> assistance for property by contaminants</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> assistance for renovating building stock or bringing it up to code, and assistance provided for designated historic preservation districts, when 50 percent or less of total cost</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> assistance for pollution control or abatement</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> assistance for a TIF soils condition district</td><td style="text-align: right;">\$ _____</td></tr> </table> <p>27. Are any other grantors providing a business subsidy or financial assistance to the same project? (Mark one.)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes (Specify each grantor and the value of their assistance below; attach an additional sheet if necessary.)</p> <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 70%;">Grantor _____</td> <td style="width: 30%; text-align: right;">Value (\$) _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grantor _____</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Value (\$) _____</td> </tr> </table> <p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> assistance for property by contaminants	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> assistance for renovating building stock or bringing it up to code, and assistance provided for designated historic preservation districts, when 50 percent or less of total cost	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> assistance for pollution control or abatement	\$ _____	<input type="checkbox"/> assistance for a TIF soils condition district	\$ _____	Grantor _____	Value (\$) _____	Grantor _____	Value (\$) _____
<input type="checkbox"/> loan (only principal)	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> grant (i.e., forgivable loan)	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> tax abatement	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> TIF or other tax reduction or deferral*	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> guarantee or payment	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> contribution of property or infrastructure	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> preferential use of governmental facilities	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> land contribution	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> Biozone	\$ 0																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> JOBZ (state tax exemptions/credits and sales tax)	\$ 0																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> JOBZ - Agzone	\$ 0																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> other (Specify subsidy type.) _____	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> assistance for property by contaminants	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> assistance for renovating building stock or bringing it up to code, and assistance provided for designated historic preservation districts, when 50 percent or less of total cost	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> assistance for pollution control or abatement	\$ _____																																				
<input type="checkbox"/> assistance for a TIF soils condition district	\$ _____																																				
Grantor _____	Value (\$) _____																																				
Grantor _____	Value (\$) _____																																				

*For questions about TIF reporting requirements contact Arlin Waelti (651) 296-7676 at the Minnesota Office of the State Auditor.

Section JZ: JOZ Information

Complete Questions JZ1-JZ5 if the financial assistance was awarded to a JOBZ qualified business recipient receiving JOBZ benefits. (If not, go directly to Question 28.)

JZ1. What was the amount of private capital investment of the business in the JOBZ zone prior to December 31, 2006?

Real (land and buildings) \$ _____

Personal (equipment) \$ _____

JZ2. What amount of the qualified business's January 2, 2006 taxable market value was exempt from property taxes payable in 2006 due to JOBZ qualification? (Please specify each parcel identification number and exempt value of each parcel, attaching an additional sheet if necessary. Obtain exempt values from the county assessor's office.)

\$ _____ for Parcel Identification Number: _____

JZ3. What was the value of Wind Energy Production Tax, if any, for the JOBZ qualified business that was operating during the period of January 1, 2006 and December 31, 2006?

\$ _____

JZ4/JZ5: Goals and actual performance for the JOBZ qualified business recipient.

Did the qualified business paid compensation including benefits to each employee of at least 110 percent of the federal poverty level for a family of four for each year (\$10.58 per hour as of July 1, 2006)?

☐ Yes ☐ No

(For JOBZ subsidy agreements signed after June 30, 2005, compensation including benefits paid by a qualified business to each employee on an annualized basis must be at least 110 percent of the federal poverty level for a family of four for each year.)

Each line represents an hourly wage level, please round wage levels to the nearest whole dollar and specify the hourly benefit for each wage level and the number of jobs (i.e., \$12.00 hourly wage level, \$1.20 hourly benefits and 10 jobs).

JZ4. Goals

<u>Full-time</u> Hourly Wage Level	Hourly Benefits	Number of Jobs
\$ 7.00	_____	_____
\$ 8.00	_____	_____
\$ 9.00	_____	_____
\$10.00	_____	_____
\$11.00	_____	_____
\$12.00	_____	_____
\$13.00	_____	_____
\$14.00	_____	_____
\$15.00	_____	_____
\$16.00	_____	_____
\$17.00	_____	_____
\$18.00	_____	_____
\$19.00	_____	_____
\$20.00	_____	_____
\$21.00	_____	_____
\$22.00	_____	_____
\$23.00	_____	_____
\$24.00	_____	_____
\$25.00	_____	_____
\$26.00	_____	_____
\$27.00	_____	_____
\$28.00	_____	_____
\$29.00	_____	_____
\$30.00	_____	_____
\$31.00 and higher	_____	_____

JZ5. Actuals

<u>Full-time</u> Hourly Wage Level	Hourly Benefits	Number of Jobs
\$ 7.00	_____	_____
\$ 8.00	_____	_____
\$ 9.00	_____	_____
\$10.00	_____	_____
\$11.00	_____	_____
\$12.00	_____	_____
\$13.00	_____	_____
\$14.00	_____	_____
\$15.00	_____	_____
\$16.00	_____	_____
\$17.00	_____	_____
\$18.00	_____	_____
\$19.00	_____	_____
\$20.00	_____	_____
\$21.00	_____	_____
\$22.00	_____	_____
\$23.00	_____	_____
\$24.00	_____	_____
\$25.00	_____	_____
\$26.00	_____	_____
\$27.00	_____	_____
\$28.00	_____	_____
\$29.00	_____	_____
\$30.00	_____	_____
\$31.00 and higher	_____	_____

Part-time

Hourly Wage Level	Hourly Benefits	Number of Jobs
\$ 7.00		
\$ 8.00		
\$ 9.00		
\$10.00		
\$11.00		
\$12.00		
\$13.00		
\$14.00		
\$15.00		
\$16.00		
\$17.00		
\$18.00		
\$19.00		
\$20.00		
\$21.00		
\$22.00		
\$23.00		
\$24.00		
\$25.00		
\$26.00		
\$27.00		
\$28.00		
\$29.00		
\$30.00		
\$31.00 and higher		

Part-time

Hourly Wage Level	Hourly Benefits	Number of Jobs
\$ 7.00		
\$ 8.00		
\$ 9.00		
\$10.00		
\$11.00		
\$12.00		
\$13.00		
\$14.00		
\$15.00		
\$16.00		
\$17.00		
\$18.00		
\$19.00		
\$20.00		
\$21.00		
\$22.00		
\$23.00		
\$24.00		
\$25.00		
\$26.00		
\$27.00		
\$28.00		
\$29.00		
\$30.00		
\$31.00 and higher		

Job Retention

Hourly Wage Level	Hourly Benefits	Number of Jobs
\$ 7.00		
\$ 8.00		
\$ 9.00		
\$10.00		
\$11.00		
\$12.00		
\$13.00		
\$14.00		
\$15.00		
\$16.00		
\$17.00		
\$18.00		
\$19.00		
\$20.00		
\$21.00		
\$22.00		
\$23.00		
\$24.00		
\$25.00		
\$26.00		
\$27.00		
\$28.00		
\$29.00		
\$30.00		
\$31.00 and higher		

Job Retention

Hourly Wage Level	Hourly Benefits	Number of Jobs
\$ 7.00		
\$ 8.00		
\$ 9.00		
\$10.00		
\$11.00		
\$12.00		
\$13.00		
\$14.00		
\$15.00		
\$16.00		
\$17.00		
\$18.00		
\$19.00		
\$20.00		
\$21.00		
\$22.00		
\$23.00		
\$24.00		
\$25.00		
\$26.00		
\$27.00		
\$28.00		
\$29.00		
\$30.00		
\$31.00 and higher		

Section 4: Goals and Public Purpose Identified in the Agreement

28. Minn. Stat. §116J.994 requires that business subsidy and financial assistance agreements state a public purpose. Which of the following public purposes were stated in the agreement? (Mark all that apply.)

- ☐ Enhancing economic diversity
☐ Creating high-quality job growth
☐ Job retention
☐ Stabilizing the community

☐ Increasing tax base (cannot be only purpose)

☐ Other (please specify) _____

29. Indicate whether the agreement included the following types of goals, and whether the recipient had attained those goals at the time of this report. (Fill in the boxes and attainment date(s) for each goal.)

	Goals established?	Target attainment dates (month & year)	All goals attained?
A) Specific wage and job goals to be attained within 2 years	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
B) Other job-creation and/or retention goals	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
C) Other wage goals	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
D) Goals other than wage and job goals	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

(Please attach description of goals and progress toward attainment (if not documented in Questions 30 and 31.)

30. If you answered questions JZ4-JZ5 for a qualified business go directly to question 32. For each of the following wage categories, indicate the job creation and/or retention goals stated in the agreement and the average hourly value of any employer-provided benefits goals for those jobs. (Only indicate job creation goals in full-time equivalents if you are unable to separate goals by full- and part-time positions.) If you answered questions JZ4-JZ5 for a qualified business go directly to question 32.

Hourly Wage (excluding benefits)	Full-time Job Creation	Part-time Job Creation	Job Retention	Hourly Value of Benefits
no hourly wage-level goal	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
less than \$7.00	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$7.00 to \$8.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$9.00 to \$10.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$11.00 to \$12.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$13.00 to \$14.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$15.00 to \$16.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$17.00 to \$18.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$19.00 to \$20.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$21.00 to \$22.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$23.00 to \$24.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$25.00 to \$26.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$27.00 to \$28.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$29.00 to \$30.99	_____	_____	_____	\$_____
\$31.00 and higher	_____	_____	_____	\$_____

31. If you answered questions JZ4-JZ5 for a qualified business go directly to question 32. For each of the following wage categories, indicate the number of **actual** jobs created and/or retained since the benefit date and the **actual** hourly value of any employer-provided benefits for those jobs. (*Only indicate job creation in full-time equivalents if you are unable to separate job creation into full- and part-time positions.*) If you answered questions JZ4-JZ5 for a qualified business go directly to question 32.

Hourly Wage (excluding benefits)	Full-time Job Creation	Part-time Job Creation	Job Retention	Hourly Value of Benefits
less than \$7.00	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$7.00 to \$8.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$9.00 to \$10.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$11.00 to \$12.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$13.00 to \$14.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$15.00 to \$16.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$17.00 to \$18.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$19.00 to \$20.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$21.00 to \$22.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$23.00 to \$24.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$25.00 to \$26.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$27.00 to \$28.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$29.00 to \$30.99	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
\$31.00 and higher	_____	_____	_____	\$ _____

32. Has the recipient achieved all goals (see Question 29, 30 and 31) and fulfilled all obligations stipulated in the agreement (*Mark one.*)

☐ Yes

☐ No

Section 5: Recipients Failing to Fulfill Obligations

(Do not complete this section if you completed it on another MBAF submitted to DEED.)

33. During the period January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006, did your organization have any recipients who failed to report as required by Minn. Stat. §116J.993 and §116J.994? (*Mark one.*)

- ☐ Yes (*Indicate the name of each recipient failing to report and the value of subsidy or financial assistance awarded to that recipient. Attach additional pages if necessary.*)

Name of recipient

Type of subsidy or assistance (*See Questions 24 & 25.*)

Value of subsidy or assistance

- ☐ No

34. Did your organization have any recipients who failed to achieve any goals or fulfill any other obligations under an agreement signed on or after January 1, 2006, that were required to be fulfilled by the time of this report? (*Mark one.*)

- ☐ Yes (*Complete the remainder of this section.*)

- ☐ No (*Stop here and submit form to DEED.*)

For questions 35-39: Provide the following information for each recipient failing to fulfill goals or any other terms of an agreement that were to be attained by the time of reporting. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

35. Information on recipient and agreement:

Name of recipient in default

Type of subsidy or assistance

Initial value of subsidy or assistance

Street address of recipient

City/Zip code of recipient

Outstanding value of subsidy
or assistance

36. Reason(s) for default (Mark all that apply.):

☐ recipient ceased operation

☐ recipient relocated to a different community

☐ recipient was unable to fill vacant positions

☐ other (Specify reason.)

37. To date, has the recipient fulfilled its repayment obligation? (Mark one.)

☐ Yes

☐ No, recipient has begun to repay the assistance.

☐ No, recipient has not begun to repay the assistance.

38. Has the agreement been amended to extend the recipient's deadline for fulfilling its obligations? (Mark one.)

☐ Yes

☐ No

39. Describe the steps being taken to bring recipient into compliance or recoup the subsidy:

Return your completed MBAF(s) by April 1, 2007

EITHER

Mail To:

Minnesota Business Assistance Report
Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development – Analysis and Evaluation
1st National Bank Building
332 Minnesota Street, Suite E200
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-1351

OR

Fax To:

(651) 215-3841

(Next year, please use the online version of this form. It can be found at
www.deed.state.mn.us/Community/subsidies/MBAFForm.htm.)



2006 Business Assistance Report

**Summary of Business and Financial
Assistance Reported by State and Local
Government Agencies Provided Between
July 1, 1995 and December 31, 2005
In Accordance With Minnesota Statutes § 116J.993
Through § 116J.995**

(Appendices under separate cover)

Prepared by:

Analysis and Evaluation Office
Minnesota Department of Employment
and Economic Development
1st National Bank Building, Suite E-200
332 Minnesota Street
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101-1351

December 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE NUMBER</u>
Introduction	1
Data Collection	1
Overview	1
Overview of Non-JOBZ Findings	2
2005 Activity Findings	6
Overview of JOBZ Findings	12
Overview of Biozone Findings	18
Appendices (under separate cover)	
Appendix A: Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF	
Appendix B: Summary of 2005 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006	
Appendix C: Summary of 2004 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2005 through 2006	
Appendix D: Summary of 2003 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2004 through 2006	
Appendix E: Summary of 2002 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2003 through 2006	
Appendix F: Summary of 2001 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2002 through 2006	
Appendix G: Summary of 2000 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2001 through 2006	
Appendix H: Summary of 1999 (August 1 - December 31, 1999) Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2000 through 2006	
Appendix I: Summary of July 1, 1995 - July 31, 1999 Non-JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2000 through 2006	
Appendix J: Listing of Agencies that Held a Public Hearing and Adopted Criteria Per M.S. 116J.993 - M.S. 116J.995	
Appendix K: Summary of 2005 JOBZ Business Assistance Reported by Government Agencies in 2006	
Appendix L: Summary of 2004 JOBZ Business Assistance Reported by Government Agencies in 2006	
Appendix M: Summary of 2005 Biozone Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006	
Appendix N: Summary of 2004 Biozone Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006	

2006 BUSINESS ASSISTANCE REPORT

Introduction

The business subsidy law, Minnesota Statutes §116J.993 through §116J.995, provides a mechanism for taxpayers to learn about state and local funds used for business subsidies and financial assistance. The law applies to state and local government agencies with the authority to provide business subsidies including Job Opportunity Building Zone (JOBZ), Biozone, financial assistance. Grantors are required to submit a Minnesota Business Assistance Form (MBAF) to the Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED) for each agreement signed since July 1, 1995 for two years after the benefit date or until goals are met, whichever is later.

Under the law, local government agencies in communities with a population of more than 2,500 and state agencies with the authority to grant subsidies must submit a report to DEED, regardless of whether they have awarded business subsidies. Local government agencies in communities with a population of 2,500 or less are exempt from filing the MBAF unless they have awarded a subsidy in the past five years. DEED is required to complete a report that summarizes submitted MBAFs every even-numbered year.

Data Collection

Reports can be filed to DEED by mailing a hard copy or using the online application launched in 2004. Because reporting requirements changed in 1999, for agreements entered into by agencies during the period of July 1, 1995 through July 31, 1999, agencies use the 1999 MBAF to fulfill reporting requirements. For agreements entered between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 2005, agencies use the standard MBAF form. Prior to 2004, agencies used different MBAFs for each year. Agencies were also instructed to submit a form each year until DEED receives a form documenting that the business has achieved all of its goals.

Forms summarized in this report cover business and financial assistance agreements reached between July 1, 1995 and December 31, 2005 that were submitted to DEED by June 1, 2006. These forms and forms submitted by government agencies after June 1 are available on DEED's web site.

Overview

Because the statute requires DEED to track each individual project awarded funding, grantors are required to submit information annually for each project for two years or until project achieves its goals, whichever is later. This report summarizes business subsidy agreements signed in 2005; agreements signed in previous years are updated and summarized in Appendices (B-I). The report is divided in three sections, non-JOBZ business assistance, Job Opportunity Building Zone (JOBZ) and Biozone business assistance. JOBZ assistance and Biozone assistance activity are summarized in Appendices (K-N). Project data is summarized for each year.

Business assistance activity has varied significantly from year to year as reported by state and local government agencies. Non-JOBZ activity peaked in 2000 with 203 agreements, falling in subsequent years to 69 agreements in 2005. However, when JOBZ and Biozone activity are included, 2004 was the most active year with 220 agreements. Activity level fell in 2005 to 172 total agreements.

Overview of Non-JOBZ Findings

The activity levels and total value of non-JOBZ business assistance have varied significantly from year to year as reported by state and local government agencies. There were 69 non-JOBZ business assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2006 for 2005 activity, compared to 203 agreements reported for 2000 activity. The total value of business assistance provided has also varied significantly from year to year, from a total value of \$612.3 million for 2000 activity to \$17.8 million for August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999 (see Table 1).

TABLE 1
Activity Levels and Total Value of Non-JOBZ Business Assistance Reached
Between July 1, 1995 and December 31, 2005

Activity Period	Activity Levels	Total Value (in millions)
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005	69	\$47.9
January 1, 2004 – December 31, 2004	87	\$33.5
January 1, 2003 – December 31, 2003	100	\$38.3
January 1, 2002 – December 31, 2002	142	\$39.3
January 1, 2001 – December 31, 2001	181	\$108.3
January 1, 2000 – December 31, 2000	203	\$612.3
August 1, 1999 – December 31, 1999	73	\$17.8
July 1, 1995 – July 31, 1999	404	\$179.9
Totals	1,259	\$1,077.5

2005 Non-JOBZ Activity

Of the 634 state and local government agencies that were required to file in 2006 either because of population size or previous business subsidy activity or current activity in 2005, 281 agencies submitted a MBAF and 353 agencies did not submit a form (see Appendix A).

Of the 281 agencies that submitted a form, 53 agencies reported on 69 eligible business assistance agreements that were reached between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005. The \$47.9 million of business assistance provided by those 69 agreements ranged from a \$30,000 tax abatement to a \$14.6 million TIF agreement. There were also two eligible financial assistance agreements reported by cities agencies – \$3.5 million for property polluted by contaminants and \$500,000 for renovating building stock and pollution control.

Of the 69 business assistance agreements, agencies reported that 23 recipients (33.3%) had achieved all stipulated goals and obligations, compared with 46 recipients (67.7%) that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals and obligations. The total value of those 23 agreements was \$4.2 million (8.8%) compared to \$43.7 (91.2%) outstanding.

Of the two eligible financial assistance agreements, one agency reported that the recipient had achieved all goals and obligations stipulated in the agreement and the other agency reported that the recipient had not yet achieved all goals. The total value of the agreement where goal were met was \$500,000 (12.5%) compared to \$3.5 million (87.5%) outstanding. The total value of financial assistance provided was \$4.0 million (see Appendix B).

2004 Non-JOBZ Activity

There were 87 eligible business assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2005 and 2006 for 2004 activity. Of the 87 business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004, agencies reported that 52 recipients (59.8%) had achieved all stipulated goals and obligations compared to 35 recipients (40.2%) that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations. The total value of those 52 agreements was \$13.1 million (39.1%) compared to \$20.4 million (60.9%) outstanding. The total value of business assistance provided was \$33.5 million.

There were also four eligible financial assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2005 and 2006 for 2004 activity. Of the four financial agreements reached between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004, agencies reported that three recipients (75.0%) had achieved all goals and obligations stipulated in the agreements compared to one recipient (25.0%) that has yet to achieve all goals and obligations. The total value of those three agreements was \$2.0 million dollars (93.8%) compared to \$0.1 million (6.2%) outstanding. The total value of financial assistance provided was \$2.1 million (see Appendix C).

2003 Non-JOBZ Activity

There were 100 eligible business assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2004 through 2006 for 2003 activity. Of the 100 business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2003 and December 31, 2003, agencies reported that 59 recipients (59.0%) had achieved all stipulated goals and obligations compared to 41 recipients (41.0%) that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations. The total value of those 59 agreements was \$23.3 million compared to \$15.0 million outstanding. The total value of business assistance provided was \$38.3 million.

There was also one eligible financial assistance agreement reported by a government agency in 2004 for 2003 activity. The agency reported that the one recipient had achieved all goals and obligations stipulated in the agreement (see Appendix D).

2002 Non-JOBZ Activity

There were 142 eligible business assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2003 through 2006 for 2002 activity. Of the 142 business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2002, agencies reported that 88 recipients (62.0%) had achieved all stipulated goals and obligations compared with 54 recipients (38.0%) that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations. The total value of those 88 agreements was \$24.0 million (53.5%) compared to \$15.3 million (46.5%) outstanding. The total value of business assistance provided was \$39.3 million.

There were also four eligible financial assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2003 through 2006 for 2002 activity. Of the four financial agreements reached between January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2002, agencies reported that three recipients had achieved all goals and obligations stipulated in the agreements compared to one recipient that has yet to achieve all goals and obligations. The total value of those three agreements was \$408,613 (45.0%) compared to \$500,000 (55.0%) outstanding. The total value of financial assistance provided was \$908,613 (see Appendix E).

2001 Non-JOBZ Activity

There were 181 eligible business assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2002 through 2006 for 2001 activity. Of the 181 business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2001, agencies reported that 96 recipients (53.0%) had achieved all stipulated goals and obligations compared to 85 recipients (47.0%) that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations. The total value of those 96 agreements was \$24.1 million or (22.3%) compared to \$84.2 million outstanding (77.7%). The total value of business assistance provided was \$108.3 million.

There were also four eligible financial assistance agreements reported by a government agency in 2002 through 2006 for 2001 activity. Of the four financial agreements reached between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2001, agencies reported that one recipient had achieved all goals and obligations compared to three recipients that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations. The total value of that one agreement was \$49,088 (24.0%) compared to \$155,577 (76.0%) outstanding. The total value of financial assistance provided was \$204,665 (see Appendix F).

2000 Non-JOBZ Activity

There were 203 eligible business assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2001 through 2006 for 2000 activity. Of the 203 business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2000, agencies reported that 135 recipients (66.5%) had achieved all stipulated goals and obligations stipulated compared to 68 recipients (33.5%) that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations. The total value of those 135 agreements was \$526.9 million (86.0%) compared to \$85.4 million (14.0%) outstanding. The total value of business assistance provided was \$612.3 million.

There were also 10 eligible financial assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2001 through 2006 for 2000 activity. Of the 10 financial agreements reached between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2000, agencies reported that four recipients had achieved all stipulated goals and obligations stipulated in the agreements compared to six recipients that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations. The total value of the four agreements was \$11.0 million (96.5%) compared to \$0.4 million (3.5%) outstanding. The total value of the financial assistance provided was \$11.4 million (see Appendix G).

August 1, 1999 – December 31, 1999 Non-JOBZ Activity

There were 73 eligible business assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2000 through 2006 for 1999 activity. Of the 73 business assistance agreements reached between August 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999, agencies reported that 55 recipients (75.3%) had achieved all stipulated goals and obligations compared to 18 recipients (24.7%) that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations and one agreement. The total value of those 55 agreements was \$14.9 million (83.4%) compared to \$2.9 million outstanding (16.6%). The total value of business assistance provided was \$17.8 million.

There was also one eligible financial assistance agreement reported by a government agency in 2001 for 1999 activity. The agency reported that one recipient had achieved all goals and obligations stipulated in the agreement. The total value of assistance provided was \$568,167 (see Appendix H).

July 1, 1995 – July 31, 1999 Non-JOBZ Activity

There were 404 eligible business assistance reported by government agencies in 2000 through 2006. Of the 404 business assistance agreements reached between July 1, 1995 and July 31, 1999, agencies reported that 325 recipients (80.4%) had achieved all stipulated goals and obligations compared to 59 recipients (14.6%) that have yet to achieve all goals and obligations and 20 recipients (5.0%) that were missing data. The total value of those 325 agreements was \$143.5 million (79.8%) compared to \$28.5 million (15.9%) outstanding and \$7.9 million (4.4%) that was missing data. The total value of business assistance provided was \$179.9 million (see Appendix I).

Public Hearing and Adopted Criteria

According to M.S. §116J.994 business subsidies may not be granted until the grantor has held a public hearing and adopted criteria for awarding business subsidies. Grantors are also required to submit a copy of their adopted criteria policies to DEED if they award business subsidies.

There were 448 agencies required to hold a public hearing in or prior to 2005 in accordance with the statute because of previous activity (see Appendix J). The distribution of agencies that reported holding a public hearing is as follows:

- 382 agencies or 85.3 percent reported holding a public hearing in compliance with the statute; and
- 66 agencies or 14.7 percent awarded business assistance but did not report holding a public hearing on the MBAF.

Of the 448 agencies that were required to submit criteria to DEED, the distribution of the criteria submitted by agencies is as follows:

- 375 agencies or 83.7 percent submitted criteria; and
- 73 agencies or 16.3 percent did not submit criteria, but awarded business assistance.

Recipients that Failed to Fulfill All Goals and Obligations through 2006

Under the law, DEED is required to report on the number of business assistance agreements for grantors that did not meet their goals. As of 2006, there were 20 agreements reported by grantors that recipients had failed to fulfill all goals and obligations in the business assistance agreement (see Appendices E-H).

Public Purpose for Assistance Agreements

Minnesota Statutes §116J.994 requires that business and financial assistance agreements state a public purpose. Of the 69 business assistance agreements entered into in 2005, creating high quality job growth, 53 agreements (76.8%), and increasing the tax base, 44 agreements (63.8%)¹, accounted for the highest share of public purpose reported by government agencies. Other types of public purpose included increasing economic diversity, 22 agreements (31.9%), other public purposes, 22 agreements (31.9%), job retention, 16 agreements (23.2%) and stabilizing the community, 13 agreements (18.8%).

2005 Activity Findings

Forms summarized in this section of the report encompass business and financial assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005. Under the business subsidy law, agencies are required to submit MBAF form for two years after the benefit date or until goals are met, whichever is later.

Industry Sector for Assistance for Recipients

Of the 69 business assistance reported by government agencies in 2004, the manufacturing sector accounted for 29 agreements (42.0%), services, 18 agreements (26.1%), other, six agreements (8.7%), wholesale trade, five agreements (7.2%), retail trade, four agreements (5.8%), construction, four agreements (5.8%), and finance, insurance and real estate, three agreements (4.3%) (see Table 2).

¹ Increasing the tax base was used by agencies in conjunction with other public purposes.

TABLE 2
Distribution of Non-JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements by Industrial Sector for
Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Sector	Quantity	Percentage	Dollar Amount (in millions)	Percentage
Manufacturing	29	42.0%	\$20.9	43.7%
Services	18	26.1%	\$13.0	27.1%
Other	6	8.7%	\$6.1	12.7%
Wholesale Trade	5	7.2%	\$5.7	11.9%
Retail Trade	4	5.8%	\$1.0	2.2%
Construction	4	5.8%	\$0.6	1.3%
Finance	3	4.3%	\$0.6	1.2%
Total	69	100.0%	\$47.9	100.0%

Of \$47.9 million in business assistance provided by government agencies, the services sector accounted for \$20.9 million (43.7%), other, \$13.0 million (27.1%), manufacturing, \$6.1 million (12.7%), retail trade, \$5.7 million (11.9%), wholesale trade, 1.0 million (2.2%), finance, insurance and real estate, \$0.6 million (1.3%) and construction, \$0.6 million (1.2%).

The finance, insurance and real estate and other² sectors accounted for the two financial assistance agreements reported in 2006. The total amount of the financial assistance was for \$4.0 million.

Distribution of Assistance Agreements

Cities accounted for most of the business assistance agreement reported in 2006. As Table 3 shows, the distribution and dollar value of the 69 business subsidy agreements on the MBAF, cities accounted for 61 agreements (88.4%), counties for three agreements (4.3%), other for three agreements (4.3%) and the state for two agreements (2.9%).

TABLE 3
Distribution of Non-JOBZ Business Assistance by Government Agency for Agreements
Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Grantor Classification	Quantity	Percentage	Dollar Amount (in millions)	Percentage
City ³	61	88.4%	\$45.2	94.3%
County	3	4.3%	\$1.1	2.4%
Other ⁴	3	4.3%	\$1.4	2.9%
State	2	2.9%	\$0.2	0.4%
Total	69	100.0%	\$47.9	100.0%

² Other is land redevelopment.

³ City includes economic development authorities (EDAs).

⁴ Other is port authorities.

Of the \$47.9 million in business subsidies reported on the MBAF, city agencies accounted for \$45.2 million (94.3%), other agencies \$1.4 million (2.9%), county agencies \$1.1 million (2.4%) and state agencies \$0.2 million (0.4%).

Two city agencies accounted for all the financial assistance activity reported in 2004. The city agencies reported two eligible agreements that had a total value of \$4.0 million.

Regional Distribution of Assistance Agreements

The Twin Cities 7-county region accounted for most of the business assistance reported in 2004. As Table 4 shows, of the 69 business assistance agreements reported in 2004, the Twin Cities region accounted for 27 agreements (39.1%), Central for 16 agreements (23.2%), Southeast for seven agreements (10.1%), Southwest for seven agreements (10.1%), West Central for seven agreements (10.1%), Northeast for three agreements (4.3%), and Northwest for two agreements (2.9%).

TABLE 4
Regional Distribution of Non-JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements
Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Region	Quantity	Percentage	Dollar Amount (in millions)	Percentage
Twin Cities	27	39.1%	\$35.5	74.1%
Central	16	23.2%	\$2.3	4.9%
Southeast	7	10.1%	\$6.9	14.5%
Southwest	7	10.1%	\$1.4	3.0%
West Central	7	10.1%	\$1.2	2.6%
Northeast	3	4.3%	\$0.3	0.7%
Northwest	2	2.9%	\$0.2	0.4%
Total	69	100.0%	\$47.9	100.0%

Of the \$47.9 million of business subsidies reported in 2006 by government agencies, the Twin Cities region provided about \$35.5 million (74.1%), Southeast \$6.9 million (14.5%), Central \$2.3 million (4.9%), Southwest \$1.4 million (3.0%), West Central \$1.2 million (2.6%), Northwest \$0.3 million (0.7%), and Northeast \$0.2 million (0.4%).

The Twin Cities seven-county region reported two financial assistance agreements in 2006 that provided for a total of \$4.0 million in assistance.

Type and Value of Assistance Provided

Of the \$47.9 million in subsidies reported by state, county and local government agencies, amounts ranged from a \$30,000 in assistance for tax abatement to \$14.6 million for TIF. The median value was \$139,720 for all agreements awarded.

TIF and loans were the most common types of subsidies provided. Of the 69 business subsidies agreements reported by government agencies, there were 82 types of business subsidies reported because several agencies reported more than one type of assistance for each project. As Table 5 illustrates, of the 82 types of business subsidies reported by government agencies, TIF was involved in 27 (32.9%) agreements and loans were involved in 16 agreements (19.5%).

TABLE 5
Distribution of Non-JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements Reached
Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Type	Quantity	Percentage	Dollar Amount (in millions)	Percentage
TIF	27	32.9%	\$23.6	49.3%
Loans	16	19.5%	\$2.8	5.8%
Tax Abatement	13	15.9%	\$6.3	13.1%
Land Contribution	12	14.6%	\$3.2	6.6%
Contribution of Property	6	7.3%	\$2.9	6.0%
Grants	5	6.1%	\$9.0	18.8%
Other	3	3.7%	\$0.4	0.2%
Total	82	100.0%	\$47.9	100.0%

TIF accounted for the highest share of assistance by value, 49.3% (\$23.6 million), followed by grants, 18.8% (\$9.0 million).

There were two financial assistance agreements reported in 2006 by city agencies for a total of \$4.0 million. The assistance provided was for property polluted by contaminants, renovating building stock and pollution control.

Goals and Actual Performance

Grantors are required to identify the types of goals that recipients receiving business assistance were expected to achieve. Grantors are also required to indicate the progress toward these goals.

Under the law, if the assistance agreement includes specific wage and job goals then those goals must be attained within two years of the benefit date. Assistance agreements can also include other goals. Of the 69 eligible business assistance agreements entered into by agencies between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005, 63 agreements (91.3%) established specific job and wage goals.

Of the 63 business assistance agreements that were reported by agencies that established specific wage goals, 23 agreements (36.5%) have attained specific job and wage goals; and 40 agreements (63.5%) reported that the recipient had not attained specific job and wage goals. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals.

There were 25 business assistance agreements that were reported by agencies that established goals other than wage and job goals. Of the 25 agreements reported by agencies that established goals other than wage and job goals, nine recipients attained their goals. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals.

Actual Performance

Of the 69 business assistance agreements entered into by government agencies between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005, agencies reported 23 agreements where recipients had achieved all goals and obligations stipulated in the agreements. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals and obligations. The total value of those 23 agreements was \$4.2 million out of the \$47.9 million of business assistance reported between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005.

The two financial assistance agreements reported to DEED indicated, that the one recipient had achieved all goals and obligations stipulated in the agreement. The total value for the agreement was \$500,000 with \$3.5 million outstanding (See Appendix B).

Full-time Job Creation and Wages

Under the law, the business assistance, in addition to other goals, must include goals for the number of jobs created, which may include separate goals for the number of full-time or part-time jobs and wage goals for jobs created.

Of the 69 eligible business assistance agreements, agencies reported a full-time creation goal of 2,537 jobs with an average hourly benefit range between \$1.40 and \$10.50 (see Table 6). Agencies reported 552 actual full-time jobs created. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their job creation and wage goals. The distribution of full-time job creation and wage goals and actual performance was as follows:

TABLE 6
Distribution of Non-JOBZ Full-time Job Creation, Wage Goals and Actual Performance for
Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Goals				Actual		
Hourly Wage	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Percentage	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Percentage
No Hourly Wage	\$0.00	13	0.5%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
Less than \$7.00	\$2.28	24	0.9%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
\$7.00 to \$8.99	\$0.00	23	0.9%	\$3.71	7	1.3%
\$9.00 to \$10.99	\$1.40	356	14.0%	\$5.08	136	24.6%
\$11.00 to \$12.99	\$4.12	386	15.2%	\$3.43	77	13.9%
\$13.00 to \$14.99	\$3.25	98	3.9%	\$2.83	85	15.4%
\$15.00 to \$16.99	\$3.50	104	4.1%	\$3.61	90	16.3%
\$17.00 to \$18.99	\$8.00	8	0.3%	\$3.77	36	6.5%
\$19.00 to \$20.99	\$9.00	7	0.3%	\$4.88	26	4.7%
\$21.00 to \$22.99	\$8.75	1	0.0%	\$5.45	34	6.2%
\$23.00 to \$24.99	\$6.00	7	0.3%	\$4.16	17	3.1%
\$25.00 to \$26.99	\$10.50	3	0.1%	\$6.39	8	1.4%
\$27.00 to \$28.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$3.99	5	0.9%
\$29.00 to \$30.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
\$31.00 and higher	\$5.85	1,507	59.4%	\$7.66	31	5.6%
Total		2,537	100.0%		552	100.0%

There were no full-time job creation goals for the two eligible financial assistance agreements.

Part-time Job Creation and Wages

Of the 69 eligible business assistance agreements, agencies reported a part-time creation goal of 53 jobs with no average hourly benefit. Agencies reported 64 actual part-time jobs. One agency reported an actual part-time hourly benefit of \$2.28 for one job (see Table 7). The distribution of actual part-time job creation and wage goals and actual performance was as follows:

TABLE 7
Distribution of Non-JOBZ Part-time Job Creation, Wage Goals and Actual Performance for
Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Goals				Actual		
Hourly Wage	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Percentage	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Percentage
No Hourly Wage	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
Less than \$7.00	\$0.00	22	41.5%	\$0.00	22	34.0%
\$7.00 to \$8.99	\$0.00	30	56.6%	\$0.00	24	37.5%
\$9.00 to \$10.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	11	17.2%
\$11.00 to \$12.99	\$0.00	1	1.9%	\$0.00	3	4.7%
\$13.00 to \$14.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	1	1.6%
\$15.00 to \$16.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	1	1.6%
\$17.00 to \$18.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	1	1.6%
\$19.00 to \$20.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
\$21.00 to \$22.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
\$23.00 to \$24.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
\$25.00 to \$26.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$2.28	1	1.6%
Total		53	100.0%		64	100.0%

Job Retention Goals and Actual Performance

Of the 69 eligible business assistance agreements, agencies reported a job retention goal of 545 jobs with an average hourly benefit range between \$1.15 and \$3.16. Agencies reported 574 actual jobs retained. The average hourly benefit value range was between \$1.94 and \$9.20 (see Table 8). The distribution of retained jobs goals, wages and actual performance was as follows:

TABLE 8
Distribution of Non-JOBZ Job Retention Goals, Wages and Actual Performance for
Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Goals				Actual		
Hourly Wage	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Percentage	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Percentage
No Hourly Wage	\$1.15	172	31.6%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
Less than \$7.00	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
\$7.00 to \$8.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	12	2.1%
\$9.00 to \$10.99	\$0.00	161	29.5%	\$4.96	161	28.0%
\$11.00 to \$12.99	\$3.16	105	19.3%	\$1.94	22	3.8%
\$13.00 to \$14.99	\$0.00	26	4.8%	\$3.54	80	13.9%
\$15.00 to \$16.99	\$0.00	21	3.9%	\$2.84	52	9.1%
\$17.00 to \$18.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$5.21	97	16.9%
\$19.00 to \$20.99	\$0.00	28	5.1%	\$2.68	69	12.0%
\$21.00 to \$22.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$5.00	7	1.2%
\$23.00 to \$24.99	\$0.00	32	5.9%	\$0.00	41	7.1%
\$25.00 to \$26.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$5.80	28	4.9%
\$27.00 to \$28.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
\$29.00 to \$30.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	0	0.0%
\$31.00 and higher	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$9.20	5	0.9%
Total		545	100.0%		574	100.0%

Overview of JOBZ Findings

This section summarizes Job Opportunity Building Zone (JOBZ) business subsidy agreements signed in 2005 and reported on the MBAF. There were 98 JOBZ business assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2006 for 2005 activity. There were also 131 JOBZ business assistance agreements reported by agencies in 2006 for 2004 activity (see Table 9).

TABLE 9
Activity Levels of JOBZ Business Assistance Reached
Between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2005

Activity Period	Activity Levels
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005	98
January 1, 2004 – December 31, 2004	131
Totals	229

There were 126 local government agencies that reported on 229 JOBZ business assistance agreements that were reached between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2005.

2005 JOBZ Activity

Of the 98 JOBZ business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005, agencies reported capital investment of \$135.5 million (95.0 million for real property - land and buildings - and \$40.5 million for personal - equipment). The full-time job creation goal for those 98 agreements was 1,249 jobs compared to actual job creation of 1,010 jobs. The job retention goal was 870 jobs compared to 870 actual jobs retained. Agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals and obligations in the agreements (see Appendix K).

2004 JOBZ Activity

Of the 131 JOBZ business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2005, agencies reported capital investment of \$454.8 million (\$230.8 million for real property - land and buildings - and \$224.0 million for personal - equipment). The full-time job creation goal for those 131 agreements was 2,079 jobs compared to actual job creation of 2,659 jobs. The job retention goal 716 jobs compared to 1,161 jobs retained (see Appendix L).

Public Purpose for JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements

Minnesota Statutes §116J.994 requires that business assistance agreements including JOBZ agreements state a public purpose. Of the 98 JOBZ business assistance agreements entered into in 2005, creating high quality job growth, 83 agreements (84.7%), and increasing economic diversity, 76 agreements (77.6%), accounted for the highest share of public purpose reported by government agencies. Other types of public purposes included stabilizing the community, 65 agreements (66.3%), job retention, 55 agreements (56.1%), increasing the tax base, 42 agreements (42.9%) and other, four agreements (4.1%).

Recipients that Failed to Fulfill All Goals and Obligations through 2006

As of 2006, there were three agreements reported by grantors that recipients did not meet their specific wage and job goals in the JOBZ business assistance agreement (see Appendix L).

Relocation for JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements

Of the 98 JOBZ business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005, agencies reported that 36 agreements (36.7%) of the recipients relocated as a result of signing the JOBZ agreement and 62 agreements (63.3%) did not. Of the 36 agreements that were a result of relocation, there were a total 104 employees that ceased to be employed as a result of the relocation. However, 489 full-time jobs have been created as a result of the relocation.

Of the 131 JOBZ business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004, agencies reported that 48 agreements (36.6%) of the recipients relocated as a result of signing the JOBZ agreement and 83 agreements (63.4%) did not. Agencies reported that no employee ceased to be employed as of result of the relocation.

Industry Sector for JOBZ Business Assistance for Recipients

Of the 98 JOBZ business assistance agreements reported by government agencies in 2006, the manufacturing sector accounted for 63 agreements (64.3%), services, 15 agreements (15.3%), other, 13 agreements (13.3%), wholesale trade, five agreements (5.1%), and construction, two agreements (2.0%) (see Table 10).

TABLE 10

Distribution of JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements by Industrial Sector for Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Sector	Quantity	Percentage
Manufacturing	63	64.3%
Services	15	15.3%
Other	13	13.3%
Wholesale Trade	5	5.1%
Construction	2	2.0%
Total	98	100.0%

Zone Distribution of JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements

The Region 5 zone accounted for most of the JOBZ business assistance reported in 2006. As Table 11 illustrates of the 98 JOBZ business agreements reported in 2006, Region 5 accounted for 23 agreements (23.5%) followed by West Central for 20 agreements (20.4%) and Southwest for 18 agreements (18.4%). The location of the JOBZ zones are on DEED's website at deed.state.mn.us/bizdev/jobzlocator.htm.

TABLE 11

Zone Distribution of JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Zone	Quantity	Percentage
Region 5	23	23.5%
West Central	20	20.4%
Southwest	18	18.4%
South Minnesota JOBZ Alliance	13	13.3%
Positively Southern Minnesota	11	11.2%
Land of the Dancing Sky	6	6.1%
Northeast	3	3.1%
Region 7E	2	2.0%
Headwaters JOBZ	1	1.0%
Upper Minnesota Valley	1	1.0%
Total	98	100.0%

JOBZ Goals and Actual Performance

Of the 98 JOBZ business assistance agreements entered into by agencies between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005, 95 agreements (96.9%) established specific job and wage goals, and three agreements (3.1%) did not. Of these 95 JOBZ business assistance agreements, 36 agreements (37.9%) have attained specific job and wage goals; and 59 agreements (62.1%) reported that the recipient had not attained specific job and wage goals. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals.

There were 69 JOBZ business assistance agreements that were reported by agencies that established other (i.e., not specific) job creation and/or retention goals. Under the JOBZ program, qualified businesses are required to retain jobs for the duration of the program until December 31, 2015. Of the 69 agreements reported by agencies that established other job creation and/or retention goals, two recipients attained their goals. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals.

There were two JOBZ business assistance agreements that were reported by agencies that established other wage goals. Of the two agreements reported by agencies that established other wage goals, no recipient had attained their goals. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals.

There were 43 JOBZ business assistance agreements that were reported by agencies that established goals other than wage and job goals (e.g., capital investment). Of the 43 agreements reported by agencies that established goals other than wage and job goals, four recipients attained their goals. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals.

Full-time JOBZ Job Creation Goals, Wages and Actual Performance

Under the law, JOBZ business assistance agreements in addition to other goals, agreements must include goals for the number of jobs created, which may include separate goals for the number of full-time, part-time and retained jobs and wage goals for jobs created.

Of the 98 JOBZ business assistance agreements, agencies reported a full-time job creation goal of 1,249 compared to actual jobs created of 1,010. The average salary excluding benefits was \$13.04 with an average hourly benefit range for the jobs created between \$1.22 and \$5.00. The actual average salary excluding benefits was \$15.37 with an average hourly benefit range for jobs created between \$1.97 and \$8.46. The distribution of full-time job creation, wage goals and actual performance were as follows:

TABLE 12
Full-time Job Creation and Wage Goals and Actual Performance for JOBZ
Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Goals				Actual		
Hourly Wage Level	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Total Hourly Pay	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Total Hourly Pay
\$ 7.00	\$0.00	10	\$ 70	\$1.97	7	\$ 49
\$ 8.00	\$0.00	19	\$ 152	\$2.40	10	\$ 80
\$ 9.00	\$1.83	22	\$ 198	\$2.67	41	\$ 369
\$10.00	\$1.22	496	\$ 4,960	\$2.54	79	\$ 790
\$11.00	\$1.57	140	\$ 1,540	\$2.84	118	\$ 1,298
\$12.00	\$1.64	135	\$ 1,620	\$3.13	115	\$ 1,380
\$13.00	\$2.25	89	\$ 1,157	\$3.63	87	\$ 1,131
\$14.00	\$2.92	62	\$ 868	\$3.77	47	\$ 658
\$15.00	\$1.33	8	\$ 120	\$3.62	66	\$ 990
\$16.00	\$2.00	1	\$ 16	\$4.32	119	\$ 1,904
\$17.00	\$0.00	4	\$ 68	\$3.94	125	\$ 2,125
\$18.00	\$1.75	5	\$ 90	\$4.90	20	\$ 360
\$19.00	\$3.00	26	\$ 494	\$4.12	43	\$ 817
\$20.00	\$5.00	6	\$ 120	\$4.09	9	\$ 180
\$21.00	\$0.00	216	\$ 4,536	\$5.96	14	\$ 294
\$22.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$3.73	5	\$ 110
\$23.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$6.90	1	\$ 23
\$24.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$5.64	7	\$ 168
\$25.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$5.47	11	\$ 275
\$26.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$4.38	20	\$ 520
\$27.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$4.49	5	\$ 135
\$28.00	\$0.00	9	\$ 252	\$5.82	9	\$ 252
\$29.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$5.49	5	\$ 145
\$30.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$5.17	1	\$ 30
\$31.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$5.84	36	\$ 116
\$32.00	\$0.00	1	\$ 32	\$8.46	10	\$ 320
Jobs & Hourly Pay		1,249	\$16,293		1,010	\$15,519
Average Salary Excluding Benefits			\$13.04	\$15.37		

Part-time JOBZ Job Creation, Wages and Actual Performance

Of the 98 JOBZ business assistance agreements, agencies reported a part-time job creation goal of nine jobs compared to actual jobs created of 32. The average salary excluding benefits for part-time jobs goals was \$11.44 compared to an actual average salary \$12.50. No average hourly benefits were reported by agencies (see Table 13). The distribution of part-time job creation, wage goals and actual performance were as follows:

TABLE 13
Part-time Job Creation and Wage Goals and Actual Performance for JOBZ
Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Goals				Actual		
Hourly Wage Level	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Total Hourly Pay	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Total Hourly Pay
\$ 7.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	1	\$ 7
\$ 8.00	\$0.00	1	\$ 8	\$0.00	1	\$ 8
\$ 9.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	2	\$ 18
\$10.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	6	\$ 60
\$11.00	\$0.00	1	\$ 11	\$0.00	2	\$ 22
\$12.00	\$0.00	7	\$ 84	\$0.00	12	\$144
\$13.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	1	\$ 13
\$14.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	1	\$ 14
\$15.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	2	\$ 30
\$16.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$17.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$18.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	2	\$ 36
\$19.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$20.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	1	\$ 20
\$21.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$22.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$23.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$24.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$25.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$26.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$27.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	0	\$ 0
\$28.00	\$0.00	0	\$ 0	\$0.00	1	\$ 28
Jobs & Hourly Pay		9	\$103		32	\$400
Average Salary Excluding Benefits			\$11.44	\$12.50		

JOBZ Job Retention, Wage Goals and Actual Performance

Of the 98 JOBZ business assistance agreements, agencies reported a job retention goal of 870 compared to actual jobs retained of 870. The average salary excluding benefits was \$11.64 with an average hourly benefit range for the jobs retained between \$1.00 and \$3.60. The actual average salary excluding benefits was \$15.11 with an average hourly benefit range for jobs retained between \$0.42 and \$9.45 (see Table 14). The distribution of job retention, wage goals and actual performance were as follows:

TABLE 14
Job Retention and Wage Goals and Actual Performance for JOBZ
Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Goals				Actual		
Hourly Wage Level	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Total Hourly Pay	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Total Hourly Pay
\$ 7.00	0.00	6	\$ 42	0.42	2	\$ 14
\$ 8.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	1.95	2	\$ 16
\$ 9.00	3.45	78	\$ 702	2.93	17	\$ 153
\$10.00	2.40	156	\$ 1,560	3.78	55	\$ 550
\$11.00	2.13	205	\$ 2,255	2.68	148	\$ 1,628
\$12.00	1.89	33	\$ 396	2.89	124	\$ 1,488
\$13.00	3.59	374	\$ 4,862	3.18	107	\$ 1,391
\$14.00	0.00	3	\$ 42	4.64	93	\$ 1,302
\$15.00	1.65	7	\$ 105	3.32	129	\$ 1,935
\$16.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	3.31	11	\$ 176
\$17.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	4.87	13	\$ 221
\$18.00	1.66	4	\$ 72	3.39	14	\$ 252
\$19.00	1.00	1	\$ 19	4.51	14	\$ 266
\$20.00	1.00	1	\$ 20	3.57	15	\$ 300
\$21.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	4.59	7	\$ 147
\$22.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	4.14	6	\$ 132
\$23.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	5.45	4	\$ 92
\$24.00	1.00	2	\$ 48	3.22	9	\$ 216
\$25.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	6.46	7	\$ 175
\$26.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	7.87	19	\$ 494
\$27.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	5.96	19	\$ 513
\$28.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	6.79	4	\$ 112
\$29.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	4.22	6	\$ 174
\$30.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	0.00	0	\$ 0
\$31.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	5.59	39	\$ 1,209
\$32.00	0.00	0	\$ 0	9.45	6	\$ 192
Jobs & Hourly Pay		870	\$10,123		870	\$13,148
Average Salary Excluding Benefits			\$11.64	\$15.11		

Overview of Biozone Findings

This report summarizes Biozone business assistance agreements signed in 2005. Project data have been aggregated into one section for each reporting year. There were five Biozone agreements reported by government agencies in 2006 for 2005 activity. There were also two Biozone business assistance agreements reported by agencies in 2006 for 2004 activity (see Table 15).

TABLE 15
Activity Levels of Biozone Assistance Reached Between
January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2005

Activity Period	Activity Levels
January 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005	5
January 1, 2004 – December 31, 2004	2
Totals	7

2005 Biozone Activity

Of the five Biozone business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005, agencies reported a full-time job creation goal of 28 jobs compared to actual job creation of 33 jobs. Agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals and obligations stipulated in the agreements (see Appendix M).

2004 Biozone Activity

Of the two Biozone business assistance agreements reached between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2004, agencies reported a full-time job creation goal of 27 jobs and a job retention goal of 3.5 jobs. Agencies reported no jobs were created or retained via the MBAF. Agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their goals and obligations stipulated in the agreements (see Appendix N).

Public Purpose for Biozone Business Assistance Agreements

Minnesota Statutes §116J.994 requires that business assistance agreements including Biozone agreements state a public purpose. Of the five Biozone business assistance agreements entered into in 2005, increasing economic diversity, creating high quality job growth, stabilizing the community and other⁵ accounted for the highest share of public purpose reported by government agencies, five agreements (100.0%) following by job retention, four agreements (80.0%).

Industry Sector for Biozone Assistance for Recipients

Of the five Biozone agreements reported by government agencies in 2006, the service sector accounted for all of the agreements.

Zone Distribution of Biozone Business Assistance Agreements

The Twin Cities region accounted for all the Biozone business assistance reported in 2006.

Biozone Goals and Actual Performance

The Biozone assistance agreements must include specific wage and job goals and those goals must be attained within two years of the benefit date. Biozone assistance agreements can also include other goals such as remaining in the zone for the duration of the program. Of the five Biozone business assistance agreements entered into by agencies between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005, four agreements (80.0%) established specific job and wage goals, and one agreement (20.0%) did not.

⁵ Other public purpose was linking with a research institution.

Of the four Biozone business assistance agreements that were reported by agencies that established specific wage goals; three agreements (75.0%) have attained specific job and wage goals; and one agreement (25.0%) reported that the recipient had not yet attained the goals. The agency reported that the recipient had more time to meet the goals.

There were four Biozone business assistance agreements that were reported by agencies that established other job creation and/or retention goals. Under the Biozone program, qualified businesses are required to retain jobs for the duration of the program until December 31, 2010. No recipient has attained their goals at this time. All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their job retention goals.

There were two Biozone assistance agreements that were reported by agencies that established goals other than wage and job goals. Of the two agreements reported by agencies, one recipient attained their goals. The agency reported that the recipient had more time to meet their goals.

Full-time Biozone Job Creation Goals, Wages and Actual Performance

Biozone business assistance agreements, in addition to other goals, agreements must include goals for the number of jobs created, which may include separate goals for the number of full-time jobs and wage goals for jobs created.

Of the five Biozone business assistance agreements, agencies reported a full-time job creation goals of 28 jobs with no hourly benefit reported. Agencies reported 33 actual full-time jobs created (see Table 16). All agencies reported that recipients had more time to meet their job creation and wage goals. The distribution of full-time job creation, wage goals and actual performance was follows:

TABLE 16
Distribution of Full-time Biozone Job Creation, Wage Goals and Actual Performance for
Agreements Reached Between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005

Goals				Actual		
Hourly Wage	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Percentage	Average Hourly Benefit	Number of Jobs	Percentage
\$13.00 to \$14.99	\$0.00	28	100.0%	\$0.00	3	9.1%
\$15.00 to \$16.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	5	15.2%
\$17.00 to \$18.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	3	9.1%
\$19.00 to \$20.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	4	12.1%
\$21.00 to \$22.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	2	6.1%
\$23.00 to \$24.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	2	6.1%
\$25.00 to \$26.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	2	6.1%
\$27.00 to \$28.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	1	3.0%
\$29.00 to \$30.99	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	1	3.0%
\$31.00 and higher	\$0.00	0	0.0%	\$0.00	10	30.3%
Total		28	100.0%		33	100.0%

positively

**Department of Employment
and Economic Development**

Minnesota

**2006 Business Assistance Report
Appendices**

**Summary of Business and Financial
Assistance Reported by State and Local
Government Agencies Provided Between
July 1, 1995 and December 31, 2005
In Accordance With Minnesota Statutes § 116J.993
Through § 116J.995**

(Report available under separate cover)

Prepared by:

Analysis and Evaluation Office
Minnesota Department of Employment
and Economic Development
1st National Bank Building, Suite E-200
332 Minnesota Street
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101-1351

December 2006

LISTING OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF
- Appendix B: Summary of 2005 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006
- Appendix C: Summary of 2004 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2005 through 2006
- Appendix D: Summary of 2003 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2004 through 2006
- Appendix E: Summary of 2002 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2003 through 2006
- Appendix F: Summary of 2001 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2002 through 2006
- Appendix G: Summary of 2000 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2001 through 2006
- Appendix H: Summary of 1999 (August 1 - December 31, 1999) Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2000 through 2006
- Appendix I: Summary of July 1, 1995 - July 31, 1999 Non-JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2000 through 2006
- Appendix J: Listing of Agencies that Held a Public Hearing and Adopted Criteria Per M.S. 116J.993 - M.S. 116J.995
- Appendix K: Summary of 2005 JOBZ Business Assistance Reported by Government Agencies in 2006
- Appendix L: Summary of 2004 JOBZ Business Assistance Reported by Government Agencies in 2006
- Appendix M: Summary of 2005 Biozone Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006
- Appendix N: Summary of 2004 Biozone Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Appendix A:

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	2005 MBAF Activity **	JOBZ Activity	Biozone Activity	Rec'd Report
Ada, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Afton, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Aitkin, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Aitkin County	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Albany, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Albert Lea, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Albert Lea Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Albertville, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Alexandria, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Alexandria (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Andover, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Annandale, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Annandale EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Anoka, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Anoka County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Apple Valley, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Appleton, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Arden Hills, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Argyle, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Arrowhead Regional Development Commission	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Austin, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Avon, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Bagley, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Baldwin (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Barnesville EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Baxter, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Bayport, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Becker, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Becker, City of, EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Becker County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Becker County EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Becker (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Belgrade, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Belle Plaine, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Belle Plaine EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Beltrami County HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Belview, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Bemidji, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Bemidji (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Benson, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Benson, EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Benson, HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Benton County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Benton County EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Big Lake, City of	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Big Lake EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Big Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Big Stone County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Blackduck, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Blaine, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Blaine Area Development Company	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Blaine EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Bloomington, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Bloomington, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Bloomington HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Bloomington Port Authority	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Blue Earth, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Blue Earth EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Blue Earth County	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Bradford (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Brainerd, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Brandon EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Breckenridge, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Breckenridge HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Breezy Point, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Breezy Point EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Brewster, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Brockway (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Brooklyn Center, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Brooklyn Center EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Brooklyn Park, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Brooklyn Park EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Brooks, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Brooten, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Browerville, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Brown County Economic Development Partners, Inc.	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Brownsdale, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Buffalo, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Buffalo Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Buhl, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Burns (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Burnsville, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	2005 MBAF Activity **	JOBZ Activity	Biozone Activity	Rec'd Report
Burnsville EDA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Business Development Inc.(Fergus Falls)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Byron, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Caledonia, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Caledonia EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Calumet, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Cambridge, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Cambridge EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Cambridge HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Camp Release Township	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Canby, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Cannon Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Cannon Falls EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Carlos Township	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Carlton County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Carver County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Carver County HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Cascade (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Cass County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Cass County HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Centerville, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Central Minnesota Initiative Fund	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Champlin, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Champlin, EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Chandler, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Chanhassen, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Chanhassen EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Chaska, City of	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Chaska EDA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Chatfield, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Chippewa County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Chippewa County HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Chisago City, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Chisago County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Chisago Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Chisholm, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Circle Pines, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Clara, City of	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Claremont, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Clarissa, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Clearbrook, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Clearwater County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Cloquet, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Cohasset, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Cokato, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Cold Spring, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Coleraine, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Collegeville (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Columbia Heights, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Columbia Heights EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Columbus (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Cook County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Coon Rapids, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Corcoran, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Cosmos, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Cottage Grove, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Cottage Grove EDA	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Cottonwood, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Cottonwood County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Credit River (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Crookston, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Crookston HRA	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Crosby, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Crosslake, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Crow Wing County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Crystal, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Daggett Brook (Township of)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Dakota County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Dakota County Community Development Agency	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Dawson, City of	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Dayton, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Deer (Township of)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Deephaven, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Delano, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Detroit Lakes, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Detroit Lakes Dev. Authority	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Dexter, City of	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Dilworth, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Dodge County EDA-HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Dodge Center, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Douglas County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Douglas County HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Duluth, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	2005 MBAF Activity **	JOBZ Activity	Biozone Activity	Rec'd Report
Duluth EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Duluth HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Duluth Seaway Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Dundas, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Dunnell, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Eagan, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Eagle Bend, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
East Bethel, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
East Central Regional Dev. Commission	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
East Grand Forks, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
East Grand Forks EDHA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Eden Prairie, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Eden Valley, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Edina, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Edina HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Elbow Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Elk River, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Elk River EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Elk River HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Elmore, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Ely, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Ely HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Erskine, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Eveleth, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Eveleth EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Fairmount, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Falcon Heights, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Faribault, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Faribault County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Faribault County Economic Development Authority	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Faribault EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Farmington, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Farmington HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Fergus Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Fergus Falls Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Fillmore County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Foley, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Forest Lake, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Forest Lake HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Forest Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Fosston, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Franklin (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Frazee, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Freeborn County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Freeport, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Fridley, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Fridley HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Frogtown Action Alliance	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Garfield, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Gaylord, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Genessee (Township of)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Gibbons, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Glencoe, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Glenwood, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Glyndon, City of	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Golden Valley, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Gonvick, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Goodhue County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Goodview, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Grand Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Grand Rapids, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Grand Rapids EDA	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Grand Rapids Township	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Granite Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Granite Falls EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Granite Falls HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Grant, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Grant County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Grant (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Grey Eagle, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Grygla, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Hallock, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Ham Lake, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Harris (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Hassan (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Hastings, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Hawley, City of	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Hayfield, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Henderson, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Hennepin County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Hennepin County HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Hermantown, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Heron Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	2005 MBAF Activity **	JOBZ Activity	Biozone Activity	Rec'd Report
Hibbing EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Hibbing, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Hinckley, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Hoffman, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Hoffman EDA	No	No	No	No	No	No
Holdingford, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Hopkins, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Hopkins HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Houston County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Howard Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Howard Lake HRA	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Hubbard County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Hugo, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Hutchinson, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Hutchinson Community Development Commission	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Independence, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
International Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Inver Grove Heights, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Irondale (Township of)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Iron Range Resources and Rehabilitation Agency (IRRRRA)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Isanti, City of	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Isanti County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Itasca County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Jackson, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Jackson County (Revolving Loan Fund)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Jasper, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Jenkins, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Joint Economic Development Commission	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Jordan, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Kanabec County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Kandiyohi County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Kandiyohi County Rural Development Finance Authority	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Karlstad, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Karlstad EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Kasson, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Keewatin, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Kenyon, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Kiester EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Kimball	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Kittson County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Kittson Office of Economic Development	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Koochiching Economic Development Authority	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Lac qui Parle County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
La Crescent, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
La Grand (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Lake City, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Lake County	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Lake Crystal, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Lake Elmo, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Lake Wilson, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Lake of the Woods County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Lakeville, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Lakeville Economic Development Commission	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Lancaster, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Le Center, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Lent (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Leroy, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Lester Prairie, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Le Sueur, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Le Sueur EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Le Sueur County	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Le Sueur County HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Lindstrom, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Lino Lakes, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Lino Lakes EDA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Linwood (Township of)	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Litchfield, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Little Canada, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Little Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Little Falls (Township of)	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Livonia (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Long Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Long Lake EDA	No	No	No	No	No	No
Long Prairie, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Lonsdale, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Lucan, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Luverne, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Luverne EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Luverne HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Lyon County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
McIntosh, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
McLeod County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
McLeod County HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	2005 MBAF Activity **	JOBZ Activity	Biozone Activity	Rec'd Report
Madelia, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Madison, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Madison Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Mahnomen County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Mahtomedi, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Mankato, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Maple Grove, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Maple Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Maple Plain, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Mapleton, City of **	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Maplewood, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Marion (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Marshall County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Marshall, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Marshall EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Marshall HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
May (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Maynard, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Medina (corporate name for Hamet)	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Meeker County	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Meirose, City of	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Meirose Area Development Authority	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Meirose HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Mendota Heights, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Metropolitan Council	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Middle River (Township of)	No	No	No	No	No	No
Mid-Minnesota Development Commission	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Milaca, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Milan, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Mille Lacs County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Minneapolis, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Minneapolis Community Planning and Economic Development (B)	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Minneapolis Consortium of Community Developers	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Minneapolis Foundation	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Minneapolis Foundation's Entrepreneurs Fund	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Minneapolis Public Housing Authority	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Minnesota Planning	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Minnetonka, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Minnetrista, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
MN Department of Agriculture	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
MN Department of Employment and Economic Development	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
MN Office of Environmental Assistance	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
MN Rural Finance Authority	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Montevideo, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Montevideo Community Development Corporation	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Montevideo EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Montgomery, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Monticello, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Monticello EDA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Monticello HRA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Monticello (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Montrose, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Moorhead, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Moose Lake, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Mora, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Morris, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Morrison County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Motley, City of	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Mound, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Mound HRA	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Mounds View, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Mounds View EDA	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Mountain Iron, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Mountain Iron HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Mountain Lake EDA	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Mower County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Mower County Housing Authority	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Murdock, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
New Brighton, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
New Hope, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
New London (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
New Market (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Newport, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
New Auburn, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
New Prague, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
New Scandia (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
New Ulm, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
New Ulm EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
New York Mills, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
New York Mills EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Nicollet County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Nobles County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	2005 MBAF Activity **	JOBZ Activity	Biozone Activity	Rec'd Report
Norman County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
North Branch, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
North Branch EDA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Northland Foundation	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
North End Area Revitalization, Inc.	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Northern (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Northfield, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Northfield EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
North Mankato, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
North Mankato, North Mankato Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
North Oaks, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
North Saint Paul	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Northwest MN Foundation	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Norwood Young America, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Oakdale, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Oak Grove, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Oak Park Heights, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Oak Park Heights EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Olivia, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Olivia EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Olmstead County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Onamia, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Orono, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Osakis, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Oslo, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Osseo, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Osseo EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Otsego, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Owatonna, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Owatonna EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Park Rapids, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Parkers Prairie, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Paynesville, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Pelican Rapids, City of	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Pennington County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Pequot Lakes, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Perham, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Pierz, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Pine City, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Pine Island, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Pine River, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Pine River (Township of)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Pipestone EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Pipestone, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Pipestone County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Plainview, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Plato, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Plymouth, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Polk County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Pope County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Princeton, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Princeton HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Prior Lake, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Proctor, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Proctor EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Quad Cities EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Racine, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Ramsey, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Ramsey County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Ramsey County HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Red Lake Falls, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Red Wing, City of **	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Red Wing HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Red Wing Port Authority	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Redwood County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Redwood Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Rice Lake (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Richfield, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Richfield HRA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Robbinsdale, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Robbinsdale EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Rochester (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Rochester, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Rockford, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Rockford (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Rogers, City of	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Roseau, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Roseau County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Rosemount, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Rosemount Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Roseville, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Saint Anthony, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Saint Anthony Village	Yes	No	No	No	No	No

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	2005 MBAF Activity **	JOBZ Activity	Biozone Activity	Rec'd Report
Saint Augusta (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Saint Charles, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Saint Cloud, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Saint Cloud, HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Saint Francis, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Saint James, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Saint James HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Saint Joseph, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Saint Joseph (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Saint Louis County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Saint Louis Park, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Saint Louis Park EDA	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Saint Michael, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Saint Paul, City of (B)	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Saint Paul Park, City of	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Saint Paul Planning and Economic Development	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Saint Paul, Port Authority of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Saint Peter, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Saint Peter EDA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Sanborn, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Sartell, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Sauk Centre, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Sauk Centre EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Sauk Rapids, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Sauk Rapids HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Savage, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Savage EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Scott County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Sebek, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Shakopee, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Shorewood, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Shoreview, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Slayton EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Sleepy Eye, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Sleepy Eye EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
South Saint Paul, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
South St. Paul HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
South East and South Central Minnesota Initiative Fund	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Southern Minnesota Initiative Foundation	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Southwest Minnesota Initiative Fund	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Southwest Regional Development Commission	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Spicer, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Spring Grove, City of	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Spring Lake Park, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Spring Lake Township	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Spring Valley, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Spring Valley EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Stacy, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Staples, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Staples EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Stearns County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Stearns County HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Steele County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Stevens County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Stewart, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Stewartville, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Stillwater, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Stockton, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Swanville, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Swift County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Swift County HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Swift County RDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Sylvan (Township of)	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Thief River Falls, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Thomson (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Tracy, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Tracy EDA	No	No	No	No	No	No
Troy (Township of)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Traverse County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Truman, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Two Harbors, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Two Harbors Development Commission	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Upper Minnesota Valley Regional Development Commission	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Upsala, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Urban Initiative Board/Milestone Growth Fund	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Vadnais Heights, City of	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Verndale, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Vesta, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Victoria, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Villard, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Virginia, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Wabasha, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Wabasha EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No

Listing of Agencies Required to Submit a 2006 MBAF

Agency Name	Pop >2500	PriorActivity*	2005 MBAF Activity **	JOBZ Activity	Biozone Activity	Rec'd Report
Wabasso, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Waconia, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Wadena, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Wadena Development Authority	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Waite Park, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Wakefield (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Walker, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Wanamingo, City of	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Warren, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Warroad Port Authority	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Waseca, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Waseca HRA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Watab (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Watertown, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Waterville, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Watkins, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Watsonwan County	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Wayzata, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Welcome, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Wells, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Wells EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
West Central Initiative Fund	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
West Concord, City of	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
West Lakeland (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
West St. Paul, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
West St. Paul EDA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Wheaton, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Wheaton EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
White Bear Lake, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
White Bear Lake EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
White Bear (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Wilken County	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Willmar, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Windom, City of	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Windom, EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Winnebago, City of	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Winona, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Winona County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Winona HRA	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Winona, Port Authority	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Winsted, City of	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Winsted (Township of)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Winthrop, City of	No	No	No	No	No	No
Winthrop EDA	No	No	No	No	No	No
Woodbury, City of	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Woodbury EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
Worthington, City of	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Wright County	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Wright County Economic Development Partnership	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Wyoming, City of	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Wyoming (Township of)	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
Zimmerman EDA	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Zumbrota, City of	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Zumbrota EDA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes
	Yes - 74.4% (472)	Yes - 53.0% (336)	Yes - 8.4% (53)	Yes - 19.9% (126)	Yes - 0.3% (2)	Yes - 44.3% (281)
	No - 25.6% (162)	No - 47.0% (298)	No - 91.6% (581)	No - 80.1% (508)	No - 99.7% (632)	No - 55.7% (353)

* Prior activity is defined as project activity within the past five years.

** 2005 activity is defined as eligible business subsidy and financial assistance agreements entered into between January 1, 2005 and December 31, 2005.

JOBZ activity is defined as business subsidy agreements entered between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2005.

Biozone activity is defined as business subsidy agreements entered between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2005.

Appendix B:

**Summary of 2005 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements
Reported by Government Agencies in 2006**

Summary of 2005 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Report Year*	Grantor Name	Business Assistance - Recipient	Total Dollar	Goals Achieved
2006	Big Lake, City of	White Bear Clothing Co	\$139,720	Yes
2006	Burnsville Economic Development Authority	Midwest Volleyball Warehouse Inc	\$243,750	Yes
2006	Chaska EDA	City Square LLC	\$111,000	Yes
2006	Chisago County	Branch Manufacturing Company	\$30,000	Yes
2006	Clara City	Swift Manufacturing	\$100,000	Yes
2006	Detroit Lakes, City of	Chamber Of Commerce	\$50,000	Yes
2006	Granite Falls, City of	Par Piping & Fabrication, Inc	\$100,000	Yes
2006	Hastings, City of	Westview Properties, LLC	\$326,695	Yes
2006	Iron Range Resources	MTD Acquisition, Inc. d b a MN Twist Drill	\$150,000	Yes
2006	Kasson City of	A & A Electric and Underground	\$85,123	Yes
2006	Lino Lakes EDA	Schwan's Home Service, Inc.	\$91,715	Yes
2006	Monticello EDA	Tapper's Holding LLC	\$200,000	Yes
2006	Moorhead, City of	Stonehedge Holdings, Inc.	\$130,000	Yes
2006	Motley, City of	DJR Real Estate Holdings I & II	\$150,000	Yes
2006	North Branch EDA	David Swanson Inc	\$52,800	Yes
2006	Pernam, City of	CarCare Paradise LLC	\$118,639	Yes
2006	Red Wing Port Authority	Automated Equipment	\$600,000	Yes
2006	Saint Paul Port Authority	Trinity Technologies	\$226,076	Yes
2006	Saint Paul Port Authority	Phalen Westminster Crossing, LLC	\$574,991	Yes
2006	Saint Paul, City of	Margaux Limitee, Inc	\$95,000	Yes
2006	Shakopee City of	Open Systems Inc OS Investments LLC	\$231,489	Yes
2006	St. Paul Park, City of	Diversified Manufacturing Corporation	\$290,000	Yes
2006	St. Peter EDA	Chippewa Packaging	\$100,000	Yes
2006	Big Lake, City of	CHZ Properties LLC Southern Prairie Polymers LLC	\$418,433	No
2006	Burnsville EDA	Southcross Commerce Center IV LLC	\$312,400	No
2006	Chaska City of	Builtwork Data Systems	\$300,000	No
2006	Cottage Grove EDA	South St. Paul Agri - Products	\$141,181	No
2006	Detroit Lakes, City of	Lodge On The Lake, LLC	\$348,500	No
2006	East Grand Forks EDA	Sun. n. Things	\$85,965	No
2006	East Grand Forks EDA	1600 Central Ave Partnership LLP	\$75,735	No
2006	East Grand Forks EDHA	Hawkes MFG - Pierce Investment LLC	\$150,000	No
2006	Elk River, City of	O'Brien Holdings, LLC	\$138,470	No
2006	Elk River, City of	RST Cayo, LLC	\$75,000	No
2006	Elk River, City of	Tom E. Bauer and I. Rikki Bauer	\$114,998	No
2006	Elk River, City of	Provo Enterprises, LLC	\$103,978	No
2006	Faribault, City of	Ilp, Inc	\$280,150	No
2006	Glencoe, City of	GLE, LLC.	\$40,000	No
2006	Hastings, City of	Jakata Properties, Inc.	\$49,984	No
2006	Hastings, City of	Lanoga Corporation	\$396,623	No
2006	Iron Range Resources	Great Water Aerospace, Inc. d b a Tufoats	\$50,000	No
2006	Isanti City of	Stoppelman Excavating	\$109,655	No
2006	Isanti City of	RWL Properties LLC	\$151,488	No
2006	Isanti City of	Boeke Woodshop	\$84,316	No
2006	Jackson County	Heron Lake BioEnergy	\$100,000	No
2006	Lino Lakes, City of	Chain of Lakes YMCA	\$3,371,482	No
2006	Mankato, City of	Wal-Mart Stores East, LP	\$5,332,206	No
2006	Medina, City of	Ryan Companies US Inc.	\$2,000,000	No
2006	Meeker County	Clark Equipment d b a Bobcat Company	\$1,000,000	No

Summary of 2005 Non-JOBZ Business and Financial Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Report Year*	Grantor Name	Business Assistance - Recipient	Total Dollar	Goals Achieved
2006	Melrose, City of	Central Minnesota Federal Credit Union	\$460,000	No
2006	Monticello HRA	Rocky Mountain Group LLC	\$439,224	No
2006	Moorhead, City of	Drywall Supply Central Inc.	\$45,700	No
2006	Mounds View EDA	Medtronic, Inc.	\$14,800,000	No
2006	North Branch EDA	Leroux Excavating	\$30,000	No
2006	Pelican Rapids City of	Timothy C. & Laura L. Nelke	\$80,000	No
2006	Ramsey, City of	Premier Commercial Partners, LLC	\$183,000	No
2006	Ramsey, City of	Dalager Properties	\$220,000	No
2006	Ramsey, City of	S.K.K.W. LLC	\$175,000	No
2006	Ramsey, City of	Minks Properties, LLC	\$330,000	No
2006	Ramsey, City of	LH Road, LLC	\$125,000	No
2006	Ramsey, City of	Professional Properties, LLC	\$100,000	No
2006	Richfield Housing and Redevelopment Authority	Ryan Companies US, Inc.	\$6,889,750	No
2006	Rogers City of	Cabela's Retail Inc	\$3,500,000	No
2006	Rogers City of	Ryan Companies US Inc	\$99,999	No
2006	Sauk Rapids, City of	KRUEGER PROPERTIES, LLC.	\$92,000	No
2006	Vadna's Heights, City of	Vadna's Heights Hotel Group, LLC	\$317,393	No
2006	Wanamingo, City of	Tom Doffing	\$136,604	No
2006	Willmar, City of	Gurley's Foods	\$34,700	No
2006	Willmar, City of	West Central Steel Inc	\$44,500	No
2006	Zumbrota, City of	Atlas Cold Storage	\$400,000	No
			69 \$47,930,432	

*Note: Report year indicates the year of the latest report received by DEED from the grantor.

Yes	23	33.3%
No	46	66.7%
Total	69	100.0%

Yes	\$4,196,998	8.8%
No	\$43,733,434	91.2%
Total	\$47,930,432	100.0%

Report Year*	Grantor Name	Financial Assistance - Recipient	Total Dollar	Goals Achieved
2006	St. Louis Park Economic Development Authority	4100 Vernon Developers LLC	\$500,000	Yes
2005	Mound HRA	Mound Harbor Renaissance Development, LLC	\$3,500,000	No
			2 \$4,000,000	

*Note: Report year indicates the year of the latest report received by DEED from the grantor.

Yes	1	50.0%
No	1	50.0%
Total	2	100.0%

Yes	\$500,000	12.5%
No	\$3,500,000	87.5%
Total	\$4,000,000	100.0%